

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_128165
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of Trichoderma spp. on Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. ciceri through Culture Filtrate technique
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Sustainable Disease management techniques play a crucial role in environment conservation and need to be standardise. The manuscript is suitable for publication but needs some corrections.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Need to be reframed Biocontrol Potential of <i>Trichoderma</i> spp. Against <i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> f.sp. <i>cicero</i> through from Culture Filtrate Technique	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Need more comprehensive	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Additional recent references are needed in the introduction and discussion section to support some sentences (eg: its productivity is severely hampered by <i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> f.sp. <i>cicero</i>)	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>Illuminate further on molecular characterization of <i>Trichoderma</i> sp. in methodology.</p> <p>Materials and methodology should be in a continuous flow manner, avoid points, and make a paragraph. also, provide proper heading and subheading numbering.</p> <p>Avoid repeating the same things in results that are written in the methodology already.</p> <p>Graph 1 - make it two different graphs with proper error bars because the units of the two legends are different; readers can misunderstand if it's combined.</p> <p>Results need to be written more comprehensively. Some subheadings are unnecessary and can be combined (eg: Mycelial Growth and Percent Inhibition at 25% Culture Filtrate, Control Treatment)</p> <p>For scientific names, proper writing protocols should be followed uniformly throughout the manuscript</p> <p>Discussion and conclusion should be strengthened further with proper possible reasons with deeper literature support</p> <p>PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rajath Kumar
Department, University & Country	College of Forestry, Vellanikkara, India