

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_115614
Title of the Manuscript:	Study on the effect of integrated nutrient management (INM) on yield and quality in cauliflower (Brassica oleracea var. botrytis L.)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. the manuscript's findings have implications for both academia and agriculture practitioners, making it a valuable contribution to the scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title clearly indicates that the study examines the impact of integrated nutrient management on both yield and quality aspects of cauliflower cultivation. It is specific, informative, and relevant to the subject matter, making it appropriate for the scientific community and readers interested in agricultural research.</p> <p>3. Add more explanation in the abstract, follow IMRAD format, research objective, methodology, key findings, and conclusions.</p> <p>4. The subsection and structure is good, but you need to explain the tables in more comprehensive discussions.</p> <p>5. Yes it is scientifically correct</p> <p>6. References should be 10yrs (2024-2014)</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Myrtel S. Bernardo
Department, University & Country	Bulacan Agricultural State College, Philippines