

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_130033
Title of the Manuscript:	Groundwater Treatment by Electromagnetic Polarization
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is a very important work for institutions and professionals in the field, for the wide review of solutions up-to-date and their authors, also a brief analysis of each. It is important also in presenting the water reports of initial situation and experience results under the assumed hypothesis.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	On my oppinion - it is.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, it is.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, on my oppinion – it is.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	In late couple of years several works were published on the matter, so, it might be usefull to search the latest and complete the work. Also, same solutions on this technology with improved effects.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>I would recommand the writer to try introducing CO2 bubbles in the water prior to magnetic tratment - to reduce the water pH and ease further operations, also using N52 permanent magnets to increase magnetic effect without energy consumption. Using O2 in the water will oxidise iron and manganese and will ease hardness reduction of the water, also pipe deposits reduction.\</p> <p>If he experiments the solutions I mentioned, the effect will be better for the article and also for the population. Because, I think, the pourpose is not academic fame, but better life for people.</p>	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dan Cirjan
Department, University & Country	Oil-Gas University Ploiesti, Romania