

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">European Journal of Nutrition &amp; Food Safety</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_129526
Title of the Manuscript:	Quality Attributes and Consumer Acceptability of Chinchin Produced from Blends of Rice, Cowpea, and Sweet Potato Flours
Type of the Article	Research article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>Manuscript indicates the nutrient rich product prepared from composite flour which is essential for health. Product is suitable for nutrient deficiency persons. It is novel research and alter the flour and get benefits as economical also.</b>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>Data given in abstract is not mention in whole manuscript. So clear the what are the data presents in abstract and correct it either in abstract or manuscript</b>	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	<b>Yes, with minor correction which highlighted in manuscript with comment</b>	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b><u>Optional/General</u> comments</b>		

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

<b>Name:</b>	<b>Parthkumar Sapariya</b>
<b>Department, University &amp; Country</b>	<b>Gujarat Technological University, India</b>