

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_129779
Title of the Manuscript:	LUPINUS A MULTIPURPOSE CROP: POTENTIALITIES AND IMPROVEMENTS
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This review article is used to know about the potentialities and improvements of the Lupin seeds like Morphological Description, Phenology and Cultivation, Nutritional Constituents and Associated Functionality, Antinutritional Proteins, Bioactive Compounds, uses of Lupin, Research Advances and Crop Improvement.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, The title of the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive. In the abstract at the seventh line the word fiber must be changed to fibre.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references are sufficient and recent.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communication.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	In the Table number 5 at the S.No 4 The word Dietary fiber must be changed to Dietary fibre. At the end of the introduction part the following sentences must be present. This review article focuses on the potentialities and improvements of Lupin seeds.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dharmaraj Santhosam.S
Department, University & Country	St.John's College of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research, India