

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_129779
Title of the Manuscript:	LUPINUS A MULTIPURPOSE CROP: POTENTIALITIES AND IMPROVEMENTS
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The importance of the lupin species in agricultural manoeuvres, animal fodder, and medicine have been amply elaborated. The plant species have also been demonstrated to be very effective as quality protein supplements especially good for vegans, ovo-lacto vegeterians, diabetics, the obesed and for cardiovascular disorders. They have been shown to have great potential as better flour substitute for the pastry industry. This work is refreshing and it would ultimately translate to improvement in human nutrition.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The reference list needs improvement. About 50% of them are outdated. The standard should be adhered to.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is of good quality and the presentation is satisfactory.	
Optional/General comments	Let there be consistency with the expression narrow-leave OR narrow-leafed The research is novel and refreshing and would contribute significantly to agriculture, medicine, industry, animal and human nutrition.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chinonye Anuli Maduagwuna
Department, University & Country	University of Abuja, Nigeria