

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_129585
Title of the Manuscript:	Chronic Uterine Rupture, with previous one lower segment cesarean section: A Case report from Nizwa Hospital, Sultanate of Oman
Type of the Article	Case report

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The case reported is relevant especially when considering differential diagnoses of complex adnexal masses particularly in women with previous lower segment uterine scar. Its rarity and bizarre presentation make it particularly important. Publishing this case report will add knowledge to management of uterine rupture.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes, but the author may consider a more concise title below:  <i>Chronic uterine rupture after lower segment cesarean section: A case report from Nizwa Hospital, Oman</i>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>Yes, it is concise and well captured.</b>	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Yes	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references are recent but sufficient. The authors should increase the number of references to at least 10. Articles that discussed differential diagnosis of adnexal masses could be added to the discussion and referenced since this presentation masqueraded as adnexal mass before the final diagnosis at surgery.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Adding the picture of the mass before it was incised will add colour to the presentation.	

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Edugbe, Adikpe Emmanuel</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bingham University, Nigeria</b>