

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Plant Research Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_130080
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A comparative review of phytochemistry, applications in medicine and pharmacological potential of antimicrobial and anti-inflammatory activity between two species of Genus Rauvolfia: Rauvolfia serpentina and Rauvolfia tetraphylla</b>
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript more elaborated how phyto-molecules inhibiting the pathogens by using the different solvent extracted from the same plant. It also mentioned the human body illness curing properties having in the plant. Detailed phytocompounds explained with there class and its properties. Someone working on this plant might be get very important information to include in their research.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, title is suitable to this manuscript	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract cover the over view of the paper very well, so no need to addition or deletion	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, all rules followed in the manuscript	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Most of the resent reference used in this manuscript so it seems good.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, language quality is mentioned properly	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	-	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shahid Siddhik Attar
Department, University & Country	Savitribai Phule Pune University, India