

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_129816
Title of the Manuscript:	Revisiting Dengue in Nigeria: Epidemiological Analysis, Current Trends and Recommendations
Type of the Article	Review article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Dengue fever is an important health problem. It is widespread in Nigeria. This article has highlighted the role of investigation in diagnosis of Dengue fever.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, it is comprehensive. No addition or deletion is required.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes it is scientifically correct review of the topic.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient but mostly are not recent. References can be updated by further literature review.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes language of the paper is acceptable	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Occlusion is too lengthy, better if it can be redused.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ishtiaq Ali Khan
Department, University & Country	Gajju Khan Medical College And Bacha Khan Medical Complex Swabi, Pakistan