

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Cardiovascular Diseases</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCD_129835
Title of the Manuscript:	Prolapse of Aortic Cusp in Ventricular Septal Defect in an Elderly Male- Laubry- Pezzi Syndrome, a Blessing in Disguise
Type of the Article	Case report

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	No any Suggestion	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>		
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Conclusion should be added to the abstract .	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	the manuscript scientifically correct. No any correction	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Add two more reference	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<b>No any Suggestion</b>	
<b><u>Optional/General</u> comments</b>	<b>Small correction in Abstract and Add more reference. Otherwise article is good Quality It can Be Proceed for Publication</b>	

#### **PART 2:**

	<b><u>Reviewer's comment</u></b>	<b><u>Author's comment</u></b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u></b>	<b><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></b>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

<b>Name:</b>	<b>Lina Pahune</b>
<b>Department, University &amp; Country</b>	<b>Smt. Radhikabai Meghe Memorial College of Nursing ,Datta Meghe Institute of Higher Education and Research Center, India</b>