

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Cardiovascular Diseases</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCD_129835
Title of the Manuscript:	Prolapse of Aortic Cusp in Ventricular Septal Defect in an Elderly Male- Laubry- Pezzi Syndrome, a Blessing in Disguise
Type of the Article	Case report

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	VSD with aortic cusp prolapse will need very regular follow up every 6 months to one year. Main focus of follow up is to identify 2 main complications. One of which is mentioned in this paper – Progression of AR. Second one is perforation of aortic cusp leading to Ruptured sinus of Valsalva.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>1. Better to add Qp:Qs if possible as the</b> The chest X-ray showed cardiomegaly with plethoric lung fields. <b>2. He has declined aortic valve replacement before but accepted Aortic valve replacement with VSD closure later. What made him to change the decision? Interesting to know.</b>	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Yes.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Sufficient.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Need some spelling changes 140/50 MM Hg → mmHg resistence → resistance	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	VSD with aortic cusp prolapse is not rare. However, presentation at the age of 62 and successful repair of VSD and aortic valve replacement made this case a rare one. Also, need to highlight how initially it was diagnosed as AR missing the VSD.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Iruthayanathan Reginald Ragunathan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Lady Ridgeway Hospital for Children, Sri Lanka</b>