

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_130228
Title of the Manuscript:	DECISION-MAKING PRACTICES AND INDIVIDUAL COMMITMENT OF TEACHERS IN PUBLIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	THE MANUSCRIPT IS RELEVANT AND CLEARLY ELUCIDATES THE DECISION MAKING PRACTICES IN RELATION TO TEACHERS COMMITMENT	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	SUITABLE	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	THE ABSTRACT IS COMPREHENSIVE	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	THE MANUSCRIPT IS SCIENTIFICALLY ALIGNED	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	THEY ARE SUFFICIENT. HOWEVER, REFERENCE 1 AND 9 ARE MISSING IN THE BODY OF THE PAPER. -FURTHERMORE, THE AUTHOR SHOULD ACCOMPANY THE REFERENCES WITH RESPECTIVE urls	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	OK BUT CORRECT THE FEW GRAMMATICAL MISTAKES	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Kenneth M. Sabwami
Department, University & Country	Mount Kenya University, Kenya