

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_130228
Title of the Manuscript:	DECISION-MAKING PRACTICES AND INDIVIDUAL COMMITMENT OF TEACHERS IN PUBLIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<i>It is significant to the advancement within the field</i>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<i>It is general, needy of making it more specific!</i>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Average!	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, but needs to be formatted for spacing and organizations of the results and discussion sections	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, but needy of provision of digital IDs (DOIs) for the cited sources if available.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Average	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Husain Abdulhay
Department, University & Country	Payame-noor University of Qom, Iran