

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_128547
Title of the Manuscript:	Understanding And Mitigating The Burden: Exploring The Impact Of Occupational Stress On Primary School Educators With Special Reference To Walapane Educational Zonal, Nuwara Eliya District, Sri Lanka.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The variables are interesting as they highlight occupational stress in primary teachers in Sri Lanka as teachers are prone to stress easily due to their work environment and work load, therefore this study is important to understand and identify the reasons for it.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is a bit vague, it should provide specific information extracted from the article, such as; the specific approach they are using and there results of the study.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	It lacks detailed information on the variables itself and does not highlight the relevant literature.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References mentioned in the reference section does not meet the count with in-text citations. The mentioned references are too old to be considered in the study. My suggestion would be to include the references not less than 10 years old.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are multiple grammatical mistakes that needs to be corrected. Some sentences needs to be revised as the sentence structure is not clear.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zainab Pervez
Department, University & Country	Lahore College for Women University, Pakistan