

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_128547
Title of the Manuscript:	Understanding And Mitigating The Burden: Exploring The Impact Of Occupational Stress On Primary School Educators With Special Reference To Walapane Educational Zonal, Nuwara Eliya District, Sri Lanka.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The Title is too long with sort of complex use of the words, understanding, mitigating, exploring The Impact Of Occupational Stress On Primary School Educators	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract does not follow the guideline. The author can refer to the sample provided so that the can improve the abstract. The author will be guided what to write as well as important points will included.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Better and newer approach of treating the data of this kind of research can be CB-SEM and PLS SEM,,	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The guidelines for referencing and citing should have been followed. For instance, the bracketed citation number in the content of the paper and the format of the references	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>The introduction manifests a lot of synonymous sorts: Objectives, purpose, aims . This should be unified.</p> <p>The method is quantitative approach – descriptive survey.... But better method can be used like the inclusion of either CB SEM or the PLS SEM.</p> <p>Declaration of hypothesis shall be included at the end of the introduction</p> <p>Pilot testing and getting the reliability is good but the author can add better data analysis like construct reliability and validity, then discriminant validity – HTMT ratio and Fornell-Larcker criterion . If the author has the data, he/she can revise the presentation. Pearson r may not be enough to satisfy the purpose of the study</p> <p>I believe that the statistical treatment should be specified in the data analysis part.</p> <p>There is a guideline of the parts of the paper.. the paper shall be structured according to it. Important tables shall be included.</p> <p>The paper needs reorganization.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jerald C. Moneva
Department, University & Country	University of the Visayas, Philippines