

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_128547
Title of the Manuscript:	Understanding And Mitigating The Burden: Exploring The Impact Of Occupational Stress On Primary School Educators With Special Reference To Walapane Educational Zonal, Nuwara Eliya District, Sri Lanka.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study analyzes the impact of occupational stress on primary school teachers in Walapane, Sri Lanka. It assesses how stress affects teachers and influences the quality of teaching and student outcomes. The goal is to provide recommendations to improve the working conditions of teachers in rural areas and create a supportive educational environment.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is appropriate as it clearly reflects the subject of the study, which is the impact of occupational stress on primary school teachers in the Walapane educational zone, Sri Lanka. It highlights the study's goal, which is to understand and mitigate the occupational stress of teachers in this specific context.	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>1- The abstract needs to be redone. The abstract should include: Methods used (if applicable): How was the study conducted? Key findings: What are the important discoveries or conclusions? Conclusion: What can be taken away from the article and what are the implications or recommendations? It should be brief, precise, and contain only the essential information needed to understand the content of the article.</p>	
<p>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</p>	<p>2- The introduction needs to be rewritten Here is the typical structure for an introduction:</p> <p>General context:</p> <p>Start with an overview of the topic in a broad context to situate the reader. This context can be a social, scientific, or cultural issue that explains the importance of the topic. Provide general information to show why this topic is relevant, interesting, or necessary to explore. Problematic:</p> <p>Identify a specific problem or question that has not yet been resolved or needs further investigation. This is where you present the challenge or gaps in the existing research. Why is it important to focus on this subject now? Objectives of the article:</p> <p>Clearly state what the article will examine or demonstrate. This may include the research objectives or hypotheses the study will test. This part should explain what you hope to contribute with this article or study (new understanding, solutions, etc.). Article outline (optional):</p> <p>Sometimes, it is helpful to briefly describe the structure of the article (which sections will follow). This helps the reader understand the organization of the content.</p> <p>3- Reformulate the hypothesis (method section) 4- Accurately cite the tests used to assess stress with validation of reliability and validity of these tests. 5- Provide more details on the sampling method (method section). 6- Present the results with figures and tables.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The discussion should be organized with local, regional, and then global results.</p>	
<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Bensaid Yassine</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Ibn Tofail Universite, Morocco</p>