

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_128547
Title of the Manuscript:	Understanding And Mitigating The Burden: Exploring The Impact Of Occupational Stress On Primary School Educators With Special Reference To Walapane Educational Zonal, Nuwara Eliya District, Sri Lanka.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The author has taken a good subject to explore in contemporary work environment. The education sector is also stressful these days. Finding the causes and consequences will play an important role for stress management among the school teachers.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Partially , The title is clear and descriptive, but slightly lengthy. A well-crafted article title should be concise while effectively communicating the study's core focus. "Understanding and Mitigating Occupational Stress Among Primary School Educators: A Case Study of the Walapane Educational Zone, Sri Lanka"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Partially , it can be slightly refined for improved flow, readability, and emphasis on key points.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	No , research methodology is not appropriate. Scope and methodology detailed are not sufficient, please ensuring consistency and scientific rigor.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are not consistently formatted in APA style.	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Satisfactory, should use more academic language.</p> <p>Areas for Improvement:</p> <p>Clarity and Consistency:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The article can benefit from ensuring consistent terminology (e.g., "primary school teachers" vs. "primary level teachers"). Make sure that terms are used consistently throughout. <p>Sentence Structure and Phrasing:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Some sentences could be restructured for better readability. For example, the sentence "This study aims to identify causes and impact of occupational stress among primary teachers in Sri Lanka" can be clarified as "This study aims to identify the causes of occupational stress and its impact on primary school teachers in Sri Lanka." <p>Verb Tenses:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In some parts, verb tenses are not consistently applied. For example, in the "Literature Review" section: "A study in the U.S. found that despite significant resources spent on teacher training..." could be improved by revising to "A study in the U.S. found that, despite significant resources spent on teacher training..." <p>Grammar and Minor Errors:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There are minor grammatical issues, such as missing articles ("the" or "a") or incorrect word choices. For instance, "This study aims to identify causes and impact..." could be revised to "This study aims to identify the causes and impacts..." <p>Citations and References:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ensure that citations are formatted correctly according to the required citation style (APA, MLA, etc.). Some references seem to be inconsistent in format, with missing details in author names, page numbers, or publication years. <p>Acronyms and Abbreviations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Introduce acronyms when they first appear and ensure they are consistently used afterward (e.g., "Cronbach's Alpha" could be defined the first time it appears, especially for readers unfamiliar with it). 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The article is well-structured and the main ideas are clearly conveyed. However, with a few adjustments to grammar, sentence structure, and consistency, it would better meet the standards of scholarly communication.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Alka Shrivastava</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Banasthali Vidhyapith, India</p>