

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_129859
Title of the Manuscript:	Digital Financial Transactions and Business Performance: The Role of Mobile Money in Ghana's SME Sector
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The content of the paper is relevant given the extensive use of mobile money(MM) services by SMEs. It will contribute to improving knowledge on how this MM contributes to the well-being of business. Additionally, its contribution to businesses is compared with other aspect.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The geographical delimitation should appear in the topic. Since the study focuses on SMEs only in the Sunyani Municipality, this should be clearly indicated in the topic	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive enough	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The formatting the references should be consistent.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English used in the paper is of acceptable standards	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The introductory section as well as the literature review should be divided into subsections	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Clement Ndindah Ndifor
Department, University & Country	Unicaf University, Cyprus