

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_129864
Title of the Manuscript:	An Extensive Taxonomic Analysis of Catfish Species (Family: Bagridae) from the Cauvery River Basin in Tamil Nadu, India
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study is very important for the scientific community because it aims to assess the diversity and threatened status of Bagridae catfish in the region, identify morphological discrimination using mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA), analyze phylogenetic relationships, and understand their evolutionary process from a common ancestor. we all know that catfish are found in almost all regions and this is very important for the scientific community to find out the solution to the threatened status of these fish.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Morphological Discrimination of Extensive Taxonomy of Catfish Species (Family: Bagridae) using Mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA), from Cauvery River Basin in Tamil Nadu, India	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is better to delete this sentence. Next, the research objective is moved to the first paragraph to make it more focused. The present study investigates the morphological, molecular, osteological, and evolutionary aspects of freshwater Bagrid catfish in the Western Ghats of India, focusing on the Cauvery River basin.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript scientifically, correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	It would be better to reduce references to books to 5-6, and replace them with references from articles from the last 5 years.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments	The author has not used the template from this journal, it needs to be adjusted to the template.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sariffudin Fatmona
Department, University & Country	Khairun University, Indonesia