

## Review Form 3

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a> |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJAEES_128650   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Economics of Layer Poultry Production in West Godavari District of Andhra Pradesh  |
| Type of the Article      |  |

### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Comments

|   | Reviewer's comment  | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|---|---|
| <b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b> | This manuscript offers a valuable insight into the economics and profitability of layer poultry farming in West Godavari district of Andhra Pradesh. The author applied appropriate methodology and analytical tools with accurate explanation of the findings. This is quite important for the scientific community as a reliable feasibility tool for an interested poultry layer investor. The research will contribute to the body of knowledge on the economics of poultry production.     |   |
| <b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>   | Yes, the title of the article is suitable.  |   |
| <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>      | The abstract is comprehensive enough. However, a few grammatical corrections have been highlighted on the article. These include deletion of the word "present", replacement of the word "units" with "farms" as subsequently used by the author, rewriting of the sentence "The layer had to produce a minimum of 22, 21" to "A layer produces a minimum of 22, 21", and addition of "daily, weekly, monthly, annually, or life-cycle" to know the duration that the number eggs accounts for. |   |
| <b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>  | The manuscript is scientifically correct as the methodology. Analytical tools, and explanation of the findings are in tandem with referenced existing studies. It is a good contribution to the body of knowledge.  |   |
| <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>                              | The references are enough and relatively recent for the study.  |   |
| <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>  | The language of the article is suitable enough for scholarly communication. However, grammatical errors should be checked as highlighted. As much as possible, the author should avoid the use of primary pronouns like "her/I" etc.  |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  | This is scientifically reliable manuscript. The research will contribute to the body of knowledge on the economics of poultry production. An interested layer poultry investor will find the research useful as a tool for feasibility study. However, the author needs to correct the grammatical errors as highlighted should avoid the use of primary pronouns like "her/I" etc.   |   |

### PART 2:

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|--|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |  |

### Reviewer Details:

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | <b>Adewale Abidemi Aremu</b>                           |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Federal University of Technology Akure, Nigeria</b> |