

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_129827
Title of the Manuscript:	HEALTH-RELATED QUALITY OF LIFE AMONG ADULTS WITH TYPE 2 DIABETES ON TREATMENT WITH HERBAL AND CONVENTIONAL GLUCOSE-LOWERING AGENTS IN NAIROBI, KENYA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is important to create awareness among the people regarding the usage of drugs and focus should be mainly on improving the health-related quality of life of the patient, and also the cost effective treatment to be provided to the patients is important.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	An alternative title can be: A Cross-sectional study of health-related quality of life among adults with type diabetes on treatment with herbal and conventional glucose-lowering agents in Nairobi, Kenya.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, suitable to understand	
Optional/General comments	Suggetions: 1.Addition of inclusion and exclusion criteria in the study. 2.Adding 1 or 2 graphical data (figures) in results in place of tables could make the study more attractive.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amatul Ali Sameera
Department, University & Country	Sree Dattha Institute of Pharmacy, India