

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_129657
Title of the Manuscript:	Fracture management in a newborn with osteogenesis imperfecta: a case report
Type of the Article	Case report

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	OI is a rare disease and such cases, included their treatment options and results should be known and should be presented in the world's data base regarding this special pathology.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title should address to the specific fracture – femoral one in this case	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Conclusions to be refined This unique case has not been widely reported has no sense!	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The figures with Bryant traction, the Pavlik harness and the classification are not necessary because these are consecrated and well-known to practitioners. Spica plaster casting should be presented as an alternative treatment, too. There should be considered further possible fractures and deformities of the child (very probable) and the surgical treatment with intramedullary nailing related to the bone dimensions.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	OK	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	OK	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Stefan Gavriuiu
Department, University & Country	Carol Davila Medicine and Pharmacology University, Romania