

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_129654
Title of the Manuscript:	Photoexposure and Risk Factors for the Development of Lesions in the Head and Neck of Professional Fishermen
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research is considered scientifically robust and important to the scientific community because the investigation has shown the effect of photo exposure on the facial region of professional fishermen in the coastal regions.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article should be rephrased to reflect the result of the investigation. Lesion of the head and neck should be removed. The research only centred on the facial region of the fishermen only. The author could think of suitable title like " Effect of photo exposure on the facial region of professional fishermen in the coastal axis of Brazil"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the manuscript was not written in line with global standard. You don't create sub headings in abstract like Aim, study design, methodology, Results, etc. The abstract should be written in one paragraph. I suggest that the author should revise the abstract in line with global standard.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, I can confirm that the references are adequate and recent. But the author should italicize the journals in the references in line with APA 7	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the English quality of the manuscript is suitable.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript requires a minor revision to enhance its quality and readability to scientific community	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anate, S. Ganiyu
Department, University & Country	Ignatius Ajuru University, Nigeria