

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_129690
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of selected conditions on the efficiency of carbonate precipitation of Cu(II), Ni(II), Pb(II) and Zn(II) ions
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">-The importance of researching mixing speed and mixing time on the effectiveness of carbonate precipitation of metal ions in terms of reducing precipitation time, electricity consumption.- The importance of researching mixing speed and mixing time in reducing the consumption of Na₂CO₃ precipitant dose.-The effect of mixing time of binary multicomponent solutions of Cu(II) and Ni(II) at an initial concentration of 500 mg/L on the efficiency of carbonate precipitation.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, The title of the article suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	- In the third paragraph: "The results of the influence of solution mixing showed that the removal percentages of Cu(II), Ni(II) and Zn(II) were equal in monocomponent and binary multicomponent solutions". Suggestions: You can add the removal percentages of Cu(II), Ni(II) and Zn(II) numerically	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript scientifically, correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references sufficient and recent.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Neama Ahmed Sobhy Ahmed
Department, University & Country	Sanitary & Environmental Engineering Institute, Housing & Building National Research Centre, Egypt