

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_129773
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Agronomic Parameters in Experimental Hybrids of Poblano Chili in Open Field in Coahuila, Mexico
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article is useful for the scientific community who are working in breeding programme of chilli to know the performance of yield parameters and their range of expression. It is worthy as the chilli cultivation is predominantly dependent on hybrids worldwide. Though the manuscript arranged well with appropriate methods, the hybrids were mentioned with identification codes without revealing their parental lines or the source of breeding material. At least if provide brief background of parental lines one could explore further in the relevant parameters of Poblano chilli.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Evaluation of Yield Parameters in Experimental Hybrids of Poblano Chili in Open Field in Coahuila, Mexico	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Objective: yield response in place of agronomic response Design/methodology/approach: ANVA replaced with ANOVA Results: Separate sentence for each parameter may holds good Findings/Conclusions: H-302 and H-402 may conclude as the best yield performers among the 16 hybrids evaluated	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the design of experiment, analysis and presentation is scientific	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language quality is good	
Optional/General comments	<i>- I didn't find any ethical issues in this manuscript</i>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Y Venkanna
Department, University & Country	SKLTGHU, India