

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_129802
Title of the Manuscript:	Physico–Chemical Characterization of Camel (Camelus dromedarius) Milk as Influenced by Parities and Seasons under Pastoral Production System in Katsina State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This is a good study, and the authors have collected some datasets. They tried to write and structure the manuscript. However, in my opinion, the manuscript has some limitations in regard to the title-related objectives, methodology, results, and discussion. As a result, I feel this unique presentation and limited data of the manuscript have not been utilized in the original research article. Below I have provided numerous comments on the text, as it often has a lack of full information on collected data and other issues.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title is adequate	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract is adequately addressed with limited information. The abstract needs improvement with deletion of some words, e.g., various in the first line and balanced nutritional constituents in the last line of the abstract.  The study did not address nutritional constituents of camel milk rather than physical properties of camel milk.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	The manuscript is not scientifically correct because the objectives and the findings are not fit with the title. Physicochemical characterization includes the evaluation of camel milk pH, titratable acid, specific gravity, freezing points, fat, protein, lactose, total solids, minerals, and, in some cases, vitamins. But the paper focused on some physical properties of camel milk as indicated in the paper.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references are enough. However, many of them are old. So that, some of old references should be replaced with recent.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language is good.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	I recommend it for short communication with the modification of the title and date presentation. The presentation of the structured data in the tables is not organized well. The experiment has two factors (parities and seasons). The authors did not address the analyzed data based on the design. There is no need to present the results in multiple tables.	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Birhanu Bekele</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Oromia Agricultural Research Institute, Ethiopia</b>