

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_129142
Title of the Manuscript:	Water management from source to plot at the level of the Aneker hydro-agricultural development in dysfunction and proposed solutions
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper is a good one to the scientific community, but poorly presented. The topic needs to be modified to meet the standard of scientific relevancy.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Not suitable enough. The topic can be modified to: The study of Water Management from Source of Supply for Hydro-agricultural Development in Anekar Irrigation Area.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The Abstract is poorly written and do not meet standard of writing Abstracts. The suggestions and corrections have been effected on the main script.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	No, because it contained a lot of fallacy and unethical assertions.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are not sufficient and not standardly written. The author can see my comments and ways of referencing in the main script.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Very poorly written language and inconsistence in the use of English and lack of clarity expression.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. What is the meaning of Hydro-agriculture needs to be explained in the introduction and its characteristics as related to irrigation farming and development. ii. Methods adopted in the research are lack of clarity and standard. No mentioned of hydraulic analysis as claimed in the abstract. iii. The research does not have focus and therefore no results were presented. iv. Some references were cited but not listed. And the listed were not properly written and referenced. v. Conclusion not properly drawn and therefore the recommendation was not drawn at all. 	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdulkareem Ibrahim Ayinla
Department, University & Country	Kaduna Polytechnic, Nigeria