

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_122996
Title of the Manuscript:	MEASUREMENT OF RADON CONCENTRATION IN SELECTED BUILDINGS IN NNAMDI AZIKIWE UNIVERSITY, AWKA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>I like the manuscript because it will help construction companies to take note on how to ventilate the buildings for the safety of the occupants. It is an original article</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title is readable as it stands, but I would suggest: Measurement of radon concentration in some buildings of Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is comprehensive, and I have made changes there by activating Track Changes. You will notice my suggestions in the whole article I used track changes so that you see my inputs.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>In Sections 1.0;2.0; 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, and 6.0, I removed the zeroes because there were no subsections following the titles.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The researcher used scientific devices to conduct measurements. The researcher used scientific calculations or models to make estimates about radioactivity in the buildings. The researcher was motivated by the science ambition within to conduct this research and now sharing with the wide scientific community at large.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Considering that this is a small scientific research paper, I would say the references are sufficient for this paper.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>I activated Track Changes to help the writer with English language where I felt there was a mix up of words. You will see that. Look at the last paragraph under RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>May the write share the equation they used to calculate the mean especially the uncertainties there. When I used my own way, I found different answers for uncertainty measurements. Please provide me with the equation you used to calculate your uncertainties.</p> <p>Under RELATED WORK, I see lot of spaces between the words. The writer needs to correct that</p> <p>I also corrected Figure 1. The words on the vertical were unreadable. I changed the direction of text and now it is perfect. In Figure 2, I corrected the Figure to be the same size as Figure 1. That will be visible to the writer. Please take note of all the Track Changes. Those are all the comments and suggestion</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mashinga Johannes Mvelase
Department, University & Country	University of Johannesburg, South Africa