

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_127184
Title of the Manuscript:	Anthropometric Analysis of External Ear Shape and Earlobe Attachment Patterns in the Hausa Ethnic Group of Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript addresses an interesting topic by exploring external ear morphology in the Hausa ethnic group. However, I believe it has significant limitations. The reliance on questionnaires for analyzing anatomical features is a methodological weakness, as more objective methods like direct measurements or imaging techniques would have provided more robust and accurate data. These factors limit the manuscript's overall scientific impact and practical applicability.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	NO In addition to the scientific problems in the background, the conclusion section is not correctly stated in the manuscript	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	YES	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript presents scientifically valid research with relevant data, but it could improve in terms of methodological (i.e., using more precise anatomical measurement techniques) and a deeper exploration of the environmental factors and intervening variables influencing ear morphology. More attention to limitations and further discussion on underlying causes would strengthen its scientific correctness.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	In addition to the use of limited reference, the number of references in some sources are entered incorrectly that has been specified in the manuscript.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality of the manuscript can be suitable for scholarly communication with minor revisions to grammar, style and structure.	
Optional/General comments	<p>For example</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The phrase of Earlobe attachment differs differently in every individual simplify to Earlobe attachment differs in every individual• This structure helps to give our unique ear appearance could be rephrased to clarify its meaning to this structure contributes to the unique appearance of the ear• <p>PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zahra Sharafi
Department, University & Country	Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Iran