

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_129679
Title of the Manuscript:	THE RELATIONSHIP OF KNOWLEDGE WITH READINESS TOWARD MENOPAUSE IN PREMENOPAUSE MOTHER CASE STUDY IN THE REJOMULYO RT 32 MUANG DALAM AREA
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>		
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>		
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>		
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>		
<b><u>Optional/General</u> comments</b>	<p><b><u>Comments –</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Paper title can be revised and re-frame with clear objectivity</li> <li>• Content language can be improved.</li> <li>• Sample is addressed as “people”, “mother” and “women”. Only one term can be used.</li> <li>• Sample size is small for analytical study, results can be justified along with secondary data.</li> <li>• Hypothesis inference to be explained elaborately.</li> <li>• Table 4 - 7 – comma can not be used in between percentage data numbers, e.g. – 29,03%, it should be 29.03%. So, here revision is required.</li> </ul>	

**PART 2:**

	<b><u>Reviewer's comment</u></b>	<b><u>Author's comment</u></b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u></b>	<b><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></b>	

[Review Form 3](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Renu Agarwal
Department, University & Country	Shrimathi Devkunvar Nanalal Bhatt Vaishnav College for Women, India