

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_129679
Title of the Manuscript:	THE RELATIONSHIP OF KNOWLEDGE WITH READINESS TOWARD MENOPAUSE IN PREMENOPAUSE MOTHER CASE STUDY IN THE REJOMULYO RT 32 MUANG DALAM AREA
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article does not explicitly mention the importance of the research to the scientific community. Add in the introduction the state of the art of this research. In the discussion section, explain how these findings can benefit the community or health care facilities. Use sources from at least the last 5 years	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is a bit long. Make it more concise. check the keywords on MeSH (RT)	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Background is too long, put it on 2 effective sentence that reflects the reason for doing the study	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Fix the table format to be more consistent and professional. Improve the writing of citations in all sentences.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Add recent references, especially from international journals that discuss menopause and women's health. Use reference manager to write references	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Have proofreading done by a native speaker or professional language editor. Check the keywords on MeSH	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Add a more in-depth discussion section on how knowledge can be improved, for example through health education programs. 2. Expand on the practical implications of the study for the wider community. 3. If possible, discuss limitations of the study, such as a small sample size that may affect the generalizability of the results. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dian Pratiwi
Department, University & Country	Politeknik Kesehatan Kementerian Kesehatan Manado, Indonesia