

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_128924
Title of the Manuscript:	The Influence of Police Officer Competence on Community Satisfaction Through Public Service Quality in Driver's Licence Services at Limboto Police Station
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article focuses on factors which affects the quality of public services in the police is the competence of police officers. Competence will improve the quality, which is supported by theory- it is proved in this study with the help of statistics. Highly appreciated work of the author	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes . Can avoid the statistics and points. suggestion is following the procedure to write the abstract.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes. Author follows the chaptalization. Results and discussion partis explained clearly. and the findings	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes. Author referred recent journals and also noted in the right palce.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Results and discussion chapter, reviews have to be supported. His findings. It is recommended to specify how his findings are relevant to theory and practice. Theoretical and Practical implications can be included.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K. Jawahar Rani
Department, University & Country	Anna University, India