

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_129750
Title of the Manuscript:	Agriculture Water Convenience through Conservation and Groundwater Management at Akola District in Vidarbha Region
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The abstract effectively summarizes the research conducted on the impact of water conservation strategies in the Kajaleshwar micro-watershed. By highlighting the increase in groundwater levels and crop yields, the paper provides crucial information to the scientific community, demonstrating the effectiveness of the implemented conservation measures. This information can be valuable for researchers, policymakers, and farmers in similar arid or semi-arid regions, guiding the development and implementation of sustainable water management practices. It contributes to the broader understanding of water resource management and its impact on agricultural productivity, which is a critical issue in many parts of the world.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	In background, Explicitly state how the background information relates to the research questions and objectives. For example: "Given the challenges of declining groundwater levels and the increasing demand for water in Vidarbha, this study aims to..." Check some minor grammar issues.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Florante D. Poso
Department, University & Country	Polytechnic University of the Philippines, Philippines