

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_130086
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Frontline Demonstrations on Productivity and Economics of Wheat (Triticum Aestivum L.) in Sonipat District of Haryana, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article can be helpful for scientific community to understand the effects of treatment combination to get higher yield	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is okay	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes it is fine	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No references are less I have provided an additional reference file from which all reference can be cited at relevant positions like few in introduction and few in results.	

**Review Form 3**

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is fine	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>Few comments are given in original manuscript file which should be incorporated</b>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sivendra Joshi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>GBPUAT Pantnagar, India</b>