

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_129762
Title of the Manuscript:	Examining the impact of Integrated Fertility Management in Fruit Crops: A Review
Type of the Article	Review

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is crucial for the scientific community as it explores a critical research area, advancing our understanding of key scientific concepts and challenges. The findings contribute to the development of innovative approaches and technologies, fostering progress in the field. The manuscript offers valuable insights for future research, bridging knowledge gaps, and supporting evidence-based decision-making.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The author requests information on the current title and core focus of your article to determine its suitability. A good title should be concise, descriptive, and accurately reflect the manuscript's content, highlighting key research aspects and attracting the intended audience.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	To assess a comprehensive abstract, review the article's key content, including context, objectives, methods, results, and implications. If you share the abstract or describe its content, I can offer suggestions for improvement, such as adding, simplifying, or removing points.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	A comprehensive evaluation of a paper is essential to ascertain its scientific validity. Essential elements include explicit aims, methodological precision, data integrity, validity of findings, rationality of conclusions, integration of literature, and coherence and logic. Targeted input on the scientific correctness of the paper might be given if it includes a summary or particular portions for evaluation.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Evaluate references based on their amount, relevancy, and variety. Should references be deficient in essential research, seek current publications in esteemed journals or pinpoint seminal works to augment the manuscript's scientific framework.	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The text's language quality for scholarly communication should be clear, concise, grammatically correct, academic, consistent, and logically organized. Some topics need improvement, such as awkward phrasing or grammatical errors, professional editing or revision may be suggested for substantial improvement.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

<u>Name:</u>	Azmirul Hogue
<u>Department, University & Country</u>	Assam University, India