

ReviewForm 3

JournalName:	JournalofExperimentalAgricultureInternational
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_JEAI_129881
TitleoftheManuscript:	EvaluationofProteinContentofFallArmyworm(Spodopterafrugiperda)onMaizeandCottonCropsinTelangana,India
TypeoftheArticle	OriginalResearchArticle

PART1:Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that a author should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The matter of this manuscript really enhances the vision of understanding the diet preference of Fall Armyworm. This severe invasive pest considered as major key pests for Maize eventually attacked cotton as a minor pest. This manuscript mainly signifies this statement and expressed clearly that why Maize crop is being most preferred host plant by Fall Armyworm. So, as per my perception, the core matter of this manuscript is really significant for the Scientists.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes the Title signifies the topic of manuscript.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes abstract is justified the manuscript and it's also concise and informative.	
Is the manuscript scientifically correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	A quite revision should be done in References, where some more references should add and they must be within the 10 years research findings and must be cited within the text.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes the language or the quality of English is very sophisticated and intelligible.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This manuscript is very well-written and concise. But Authors maintain the graphical attributes along with the tabulation data in Result section for easy understanding. Current references should be added along with text citations should be there within the text for being one of the best manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

ReviewForm 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Swastik Bhattacharjya
Department, University & Country	Aligarh Muslim University, India