

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_129772
Title of the Manuscript:	Physical Activity Relationship with Prediabetes: A Cross-Sectional Study in Guanajuato, Mexico
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript explores the relationship between physical activity and prediabetes, addressing a critical public health challenge. Its findings offer valuable insights into lifestyle factors influencing prediabetes in a regional Mexican population, contributing to the global understanding of diabetes prevention. Such evidence can inform targeted interventions and public health policies to mitigate the growing burden of prediabetes and diabetes worldwide.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable and accurately describes the key elements of the study, including study design and the geographic location. However, for further clarity the title could be refined slightly to <i>“Relationship Between Physical Activity and Prediabetes: A Cross-Sectional Study in Adults from Guanajuato, Mexico”</i>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is suitable and provides clear overview of study's aim, design, methodology, key results and conclusion.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct, as it provides clear study design, methodology, and statistical analysis to investigate the relationship between physical activity and prediabetes.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient, however, few of them are very old and can be replace with new references. Secondly, there should be consistency in the references style, with full details as journal name, page number, and correct DOI.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Overall, the English of the article is understandable, however there are significant mistakes of sentence structure, prepositions, and fluency. A thorough professional language editing or proof reading is recommended to meet the scholarly standards.	
Optional/General comments	<p>Overall, the manuscript is scientifically sound and suitable for publication after addressing grammatical improvement and updating references for accuracy and recentness.</p> <p>There are no obvious signs of plagiarism No indication of competing interest is disclosed in this manuscript. There are no apparent ethical issues with the manuscript.</p> <p>Minor changes are needed grammatically and in the references part as mentioned above.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ayesha Rashid
Department, University & Country	Muhammad Bin Rashid University, United Arab Emirates