

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Research and Reports in Dentistry</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRD_129901
Title of the Manuscript:	Oral manifestation of von Recklinghausen's disease- Report of two case
Type of the Article	Case report

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript provides a detailed account of the oral manifestations of von Recklinghausen's disease (Neurofibromatosis type 1, NF1), emphasizing soft tissue and osseous abnormalities. It highlights the diagnostic value of clinical and radiographic features, which are critical for early identification and management of NF1 in patients. The documentation of two distinct cases enhances the understanding of variability in presentation, which is essential for clinicians. By correlating these findings with prior studies, this work contributes to the broader literature on NF1, especially its oral and maxillofacial implications.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>Suitable</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>The abstract is comprehensive but could benefit from improved structuring. Suggestions:</b>  <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Provide a clearer separation between background, cases presented, and conclusions.</li> <li>2) Highlight the significance of the cases for clinical practice.</li> <li>3) Avoid redundancy (e.g., reiterating common manifestations excessively).</li> <li>4) Explicitly mention the clinical and diagnostic implications of these findings.</li> </ol>	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	The manuscript is scientifically accurate and aligns well with established knowledge on NF1. The descriptions of clinical, radiographic, and histopathological findings are consistent with prior literature. The inclusion of detailed differential diagnosis and imaging findings strengthens its scientific credibility.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	References: The references are adequate and include both recent and seminal works. However, adding a few more recent studies could enhance the relevance:  <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Studies focusing on advancements in imaging techniques for NF1.</li> <li>2) Recent guidelines on the management of oral manifestations in NF1 patients.</li> <li>3) New findings on the genetic underpinnings of NF1.</li> </ol>	

### Review Form 3

<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language is generally clear but requires minor revisions for grammar and flow. Some sentences are overly long and could be simplified for better readability. For example, the case descriptions and discussion sections could use more concise language without losing detail.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The case report is well written. It is suitable for the journal for its scientific comments. Minor corrections are needed  1) Improve the readability of the abstract and introduction. 2) Incorporate more recent references to strengthen the literature review. 3) Refine the language for scholarly communication, ensuring consistent use of medical terminology.	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jagadish Hansa</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>