

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_129870
Title of the Manuscript:	In-vitro Nematicidal Activity of Different Solvent Extracts of Solanum torvum Fruit against Root-Knot Nematode (Meloidogyne incognita)
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The in-vitro nematicidal activity of different solvent extracts of Solanum torvum fruit against Meloidogyne incognita highlights its potential as an eco-friendly alternative for nematode management. The study emphasizes the significance of bioactive compounds in controlling root-knot nematodes, reducing chemical pesticide dependency. Future trends point towards integrated pest management (IPM) systems incorporating plant-based nematicides. Further research into large-scale applications and compound isolation could enhance its agricultural value. This aligns with the growing demand for sustainable and organic farming practices.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. Suggested to provide as per Journal format	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Suggestion to Add a recent reference from 2010 Reference for the discussion part should be added	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pungavi Ramakrishnan
Department, University & Country	Annamalai University,, India