

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_129812
Title of the Manuscript:	High-sensitivity C-Reactive Protein Levels at Presentation and Its Co-relation with Platelet Recovery in Newly Diagnosed Immune Thrombocytopenia in Children
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	A study on high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) levels and their correlation with platelet recovery in newly diagnosed paediatric immune thrombocytopenia (ITP) is significant as it provides insights into the role of inflammation in the disease's pathogenesis. If the author highlights the treatment associated with changes in CRP levels and platelet recovery, it will further enhance the study. However, there are many limitations, and major revisions are needed for the manuscript before it can be considered for publication.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Minor revision for title as shown below: High-sensitivity C-Reactive Protein Levels at Presentation and Its Correlation with Platelet Recovery in Newly Diagnosed Immune Thrombocytopenia in Children However, although the title of the manuscript suggests a discussion on the correlation of hs-CRP with platelet recovery, the objectives of "to correlate" and "to predict" are not adequately addressed in the Results section. Despite the author mentioning the use of ANOVA, t-test, and Chi-square test in the Methods section, results from these inferential statistical tests are not presented in the Results section.	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The manuscript does not use full words before introducing abbreviations, which should be corrected for clarity. Additionally, the Results section does not address the objective “to estimate” and “to identify” non-responders. It is unclear why an “intervention” is mentioned in a prospective observational study, as this is not typically part of such study designs. Furthermore, presenting age as 5.1 and 13.1 years old is unusual and should be revised to more conventional formats. Lastly, there are several symbols that do not appear in the manuscript; kindly ensure these are reviewed and corrected.</p>	
<p>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</p>	<p>Are the figures used in the manuscript illustrated and designed by the author? If not, the author needs to carefully cite the source and verify the rights or consent to publish figures from other publications. Multiple abbreviations are not spelled out throughout the manuscript, which requires correction. Additionally, the reference used to estimate the sample size is not mentioned and should be clarified. Results related to ANOVA, t-test, and Chi-square tests are missing and need to be presented. In Graph 1, only 1 patient out of 30 is shown as non-responsive to treatment; however, Graph 2 is unclear and does not effectively explain the correlation between C-reactive protein levels and platelet recovery. Moreover, Graph 3 displays a total count of 31 patients, which does not match the total number reported elsewhere in the manuscript. The statement, “Although our finding is not statistically significant (p = 0.50), due to the small sample size, yet the observation cannot be ignored,” is not supported or discussed in the Results section. There are several italicised sentences in the Discussion section that do not integrate well with the overall content. Furthermore, the central focus of the manuscript is not adequately addressed. The conclusion is not aligned with or supported by the findings of this study and should be revised accordingly.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No. The manuscript strongly requires English editing and review to enhance clarity and coherence.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>N/A</p>	

PART 2:

	<p><u>Reviewer’s comment</u></p>	<p><u>Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u></p>
<p><u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u></p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p><u>Name:</u></p>	<p><u>Lim Ming Chiang</u></p>
<p><u>Department, University & Country</u></p>	<p><u>Hospital Sultan Haji Ahmad Shah, Malaysia</u></p>