

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_129635
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative study of the ichthyological production of two hydrosystems, one under protection and the other free of access in Aby lagoon, Ivory Coast
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript discusses various topics such as management of reserves, effects of government involvement and the outcome of execution of such policies. It highlights the importance of maintaining closures for fish stock replenishment and how effort is impacted in an open access as opposed to in reserves. The manuscript also very lightly touches on the topic of sustainability.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Comparative study of the ichthyological production between hydrosystems under protection and open access in Aby lagoon, Ivory Coast	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Although most part of the abstract is comprehensive, the addition of one or two sentences in the end on the conclusion is advised.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	More recent/updated references involving similar approach could be used.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments	The overall manuscript seems to be compact. However, a few policy suggestions or measures to be taken by the community and the government could be included. Also, the author(s) could write a few sentences on how through these measures sustainability of fisheries resources can be enhanced.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jaynab Begum Yousuf
Department, University & Country	Sultan Qaboos University, Oman