

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_130083
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Building Academic Resilience through Positive Thinking - A Study of University Students in Syria</b>
Type of the Article	Empirical article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript provides important insights into the relationship between positive thinking, academic resilience, and academic success among university students in conflict-affected regions like Syria. It addresses a critical gap in understanding how students overcome adversity to achieve academic goals.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<p>The abstract is comprehensive, but I have the following additions:</p> <p>The abstract mentions "a quantitative research design" and "validated scales" but does not specify the type of quantitative method (correlational research design) or the scales used. Adding details like the specific scales would enhance clarity and credibility.</p> <p>The abstract provides a general overview of the findings but lacks specific statistical results. Including quantitative results (e.g., correlation coefficients, percentages, or p-values) would strengthen the presentation of findings.</p> <p>There is a lack of consistency in the sampling technique reported. The abstract claims that a purposive sampling technique was used, whereas the participants and procedures section indicates the use of a stratified sampling technique.</p>	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	The manuscript is scientifically correct	

### Review Form 3

<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The author should ensure that all cited authors are included in the reference list to maintain ethical research practices. For instance, Duckworth et al. (2007), Emmons and Shelton (2002), Aspin, wall and Taylor (1997), Thabet et al., (2017) and others are cited in the text but not listed in the references.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes, the English quality is suitable for scholarly communication	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kayode Ezecheal OBAFEMI</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kwara State University, Malete,, Nigeria</b>

Review Form 3