

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_129930
Title of the Manuscript:	Redefining School Curriculum Through the Lens of P. R. Sarkar's Neo-Humanism
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community as it introduces P. R. Sarkar's Neo-Humanism as a transformative framework for redefining school curricula. By integrating holistic development, universal human values, inclusivity, and ecological consciousness, it addresses the limitations of traditional education systems that focus narrowly on cognitive achievement. The study provides actionable insights into creating curricula that foster empathy, ethical reasoning, and global citizenship. This work is particularly relevant for educators and policymakers seeking innovative approaches to prepare students for the complexities of the 21st century, making it a valuable contribution to contemporary educational discourse.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article, " Redefining School Curriculum Through the Lens of P. R. Sarkar's Neo-Humanism ," is clear and descriptive, effectively reflecting the manuscript's central theme. Though, it could be refined to make it more engaging or highlight specific aspects of the study, for example, it may be written as, "Transforming School Curricula: P. R. Sarkar's Neo-Humanist Vision" or "Holistic Education Redefined: P. R. Sarkar's Neo-Humanism in School Curricula". If the current title aligns with the intended audience and objectives, it can be retained. However, adopting one of the alternatives can enhance engagement and appeal, particularly for a broader readership.	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract of the manuscript provides a comprehensive overview of the study by outlining the core principles of P. R. Sarkar's Neo-Humanism and its potential application in redefining school curricula. It effectively highlights key themes such as holistic development, inclusivity, value-based education, and global citizenship. However, there are areas where clarity, conciseness, and emphasis could be improved. Here are some suggested improvements for your manuscript:</p> <p>Additions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Specific Objectives: Explicitly state the study's primary goals, such as examining practical strategies for integrating Neo-Humanist principles into curricula. For example: "This paper investigates how Neo-Humanism can serve as a framework for transforming school curricula to address the challenges of the 21st century." Methodology Overview: Briefly mention the research approach or methods used to support the findings (e.g., conceptual analysis, case studies, or literature review). For example: "Through a conceptual analysis of Neo-Humanism and its principles, the paper explores their application to curriculum design." Key Findings or Insights: Include a summary of major insights or recommendations derived from the study. For example: "The findings highlight practical steps for integrating universal values, emotional intelligence, and ecological consciousness into educational practices." <p>Deletions or Revisions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Overgeneralized Statements: Avoid broad phrases such as "transformative approach to education" without specifying how transformation is achieved. Provide examples, such as integrating sustainability education or fostering emotional intelligence. Redundancies: Streamline mentions of universal human values and inclusivity, as these themes appear multiple times in different forms. <p>Overall, the abstract is comprehensive, but with slight adjustments to highlight the study's objectives, methodology, and key findings, it can become more impactful and engaging. These revisions ensure clarity, conciseness, and a stronger connection to the manuscript's core contributions.</p>	
<p>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</p>	<p>The manuscript appears scientifically correct and well-grounded in its theoretical framework and practical implications. It integrates P. R. Sarkar's Neo-Humanism into the context of modern education, presenting a coherent argument for its application to curriculum reform. Still, there are areas where clarity and depth could be enhanced to strengthen the scientific rigor. Here are few suggestions for improvement:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Methodological Clarity: The manuscript does not specify whether it employs a purely conceptual framework or if it integrates empirical evidence. Clearly state the methodology, even if it is a theoretical or philosophical analysis. Discussion of Challenges: While challenges in implementing Neo-Humanism are discussed, they could be linked more directly to practical solutions. Provide specific examples of overcoming resistance to change or resource constraints. Global Context and Adaptability: The manuscript focuses on universal principles but does not address how these might be adapted to diverse educational systems. Include a discussion of cultural and contextual variations in implementing Neo-Humanism. Empirical Validation: The arguments rely heavily on theoretical perspectives. Adding empirical evidence or case studies would strengthen the manuscript. Incorporate examples of schools or systems that have successfully adopted holistic or Neo-Humanist principles. Assessment Strategies: The manuscript mentions the need for new assessment methods but does not elaborate on specific tools or frameworks. Discuss concrete examples of how holistic development, ethical reasoning, and ecological consciousness can be assessed. <p>Overall, the manuscript is scientifically correct, presenting a well-reasoned argument grounded in a robust philosophical framework.</p>	

Review Form 3

	Minor improvements in methodological clarity, practical applications, and the inclusion of empirical examples would enhance its scientific rigor and broader applicability. It is a valuable contribution to the field of educational reform and curriculum development.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references in the manuscript are relevant, diverse, and include both foundational and contemporary sources. They adequately support the conceptual arguments, drawing on key works in education, philosophy, and curriculum development. However, some areas could benefit from additional or more recent references to strengthen the manuscript's alignment with current research trends. Incorporating more recent and diverse studies, particularly empirical ones, would further enhance its depth and applicability. These additions would align the work with the latest trends in education and strengthen its contribution to scholarly discourse.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language of the manuscript is largely suitable for scholarly communication. It employs an academic tone, utilizes appropriate terminology, and is well-structured. It may benefit from minor revisions to improve clarity, conciseness, and grammatical precision. Addressing these areas will enhance readability and ensure the manuscript meets the highest standards for academic publication.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shambhavi Kumari
Department, University & Country	Training College, Purnea, India