

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_129930
Title of the Manuscript:	Redefining School Curriculum Through the Lens of P. R. Sarkar's Neo-Humanism
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript underscores the significance of Sarkar's Neo-Humanistic approach as an alternative to traditional educational methods. Its relevance lies not only in its critique of the current system but also in its effort to offer a holistic solution to the challenges of modern curricula. Additionally, this paper aims to engage the scientific community in reassessing the existing educational framework and exploring ways to revise or improve it.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is suitable. A better sounding alternative would be 'Reshaping the School Curriculum: Insights from P. R. Sarkar's Neo-Humanism'	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	A brief statement summarizing the paper's conclusion, including practical and tangible applications of Neo-Humanism should be added at the end of the abstract to ensure it is both complete and comprehensive.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes. Manuscript is scientifically sound.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Some of the references cited, aside from the older literature which are essential to the study, are outdated. It would be beneficial to replace them with more recent and relevant sources to enhance the paper's quality and credibility.	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	Kindly double check grammar and sentence construction for additional clarity and thought continuity.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jayson S. Ayon
Department, University & Country	Antique National School, Philippines