

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_129947
Title of the Manuscript:	SPATIAL ANALYSIS OF THE IMPACT OF LEACHATE ON GROUNDWATER AROUND SUKOSARI LANDFILL, KARANGANYAR, CENTRAL JAVA, INDONESIA
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article constitutes original work which addresses an important issue and I am of the view that it will make a great contribution to the scientific community. The methodology used is quite unique and innovative. The content covered in this article although addressing a local issue, has a potential to address a challenge that is faced by many developing countries.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable for the article.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of this article is very comprehensive and gives a clear synopsis of the research conducted.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct with clear and detailed methodology.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent. However, reference number 1 is missing from the reference list, the authors need to address that.	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The authors might have to make use of an English language editor that is available in the Microsoft Word package. In the methodology section, the authors used a future tense, and that should not be the case as they are reporting on the work that has already been done, so the entire methodology should be in past tense.	
Optional/General comments	Authors should make sure that all the figures that are presented in the manuscript are cited in-text.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Phindile Madikizela
Department, University & Country	Rhodes University, South Africa