

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_129947
Title of the Manuscript:	SPATIAL ANALYSIS OF THE IMPACT OF LEACHATE ON GROUNDWATER AROUND SUKOSARI LANDFILL, KARANGANYAR, CENTRAL JAVA, INDONESIA
Type of the Article	Research article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**Lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript consists of an important study to highlight the risks related to the lack of leachate treatment. The creation of the maps clearly illustrated the risks to the populations living near the open dumping. The results can support public policies to mitigate risks and prevent further damage.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I suggest replacing the title with: "SPATIAL ANALYSIS OF THE IMPACT OF LEACHATE ON GROUNDWATER AROUND SUKOSARI OPEN DUMPING, KARANGANYAR, CENTRAL JAVA, INDONESIA"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I consider it essential to highlight, not only in the abstract but throughout the manuscript, that the study was conducted with samples from an open dump and not from a sanitary landfill.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	I believe the results could be compared with other findings in the literature. This would greatly enrich the study.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ba rba ra Costa Pereira
Department, University & Country	Brazil