

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_129428
Title of the Manuscript:	Contamination of Trace Metal Elements (ETM) of Tilapia from fish ponds (Côte d'Ivoire)
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The sentences or statements in the manuscript need to be revised accordingly so that it can be related in each sub-title. The best view to be mentioned are the research gap (problem statement) in order for the reader to understand the studies have been done.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Please mention (if any) in the title if the selected metals only have to be assessed and focused.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Abstract might be revised according to the method and result obtained.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	The manuscript presented in the proper way.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The list of the references is sufficient but can be added more if needed.	

### Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language (english) is good but needs to be improved accordingly for best reading.	
Optional/General comments	PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mardhiyah Syahida Binti Berhanuddin
Department, University & Country	Universiti Teknologi Malaysia , Malaysia