

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Oncology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJO_129527
Title of the Manuscript:	Adenoid Cystic Carcinoma of the Breast: A Case Report and Review of Literature
Type of the Article	Case report

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript highlights a rare form of breast cancer, Adenoid Cystic Carcinoma (ACC), contributing valuable insights into its clinical manifestations, pathology, and management. It underscores the importance of accurate diagnosis and a multidisciplinary treatment approach, which is essential for advancing understanding and improving patient outcomes in such uncommon cases.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is appropriate as it clearly conveys the focus of the manuscript. However, a minor suggestion would be to include "in a 36-Year-Old Female" for added specificity.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides a comprehensive summary of the case, including background, case presentation, and conclusions. However, it could benefit from more emphasis on the unique aspects of the case compared to existing literature. For instance, specific challenges in diagnosis or treatment could be highlighted.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically sound and provides a detailed case presentation. However, there are some issues: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The discussion section could delve deeper into how this case compares with similar cases in the literature. Limited justification is provided for the choice of chemotherapy regimen; more details or references supporting this treatment plan are necessary. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are adequate and recent. However, additional citations could strengthen the discussion on adjuvant therapy and the molecular mechanisms involving MYB-NF1B fusion.	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The manuscript's language is generally clear but contains minor grammatical errors and typographical issues. For example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • "...pathologically diagnosed breast ACC and treated at our department." could be revised to "...pathologically diagnosed with breast ACC and treated in our department." • The sentence "the biopsy showed features favoring lymphocytic maculopathy" needs clarification and appropriate punctuation. 	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>The inclusion of high-quality images (Figures 1-3) enhances the manuscript's value. However, the figure legends could provide more detailed explanations of their clinical significance.</p> <p>Consider discussing the patient's psychological or quality-of-life outcomes post-treatment for a holistic perspective.</p> <p>No evidence of plagiarism was detected. The manuscript appears original and appropriately referenced.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Rabia Azmat</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>University of Agriculture, Pakistan</p>