

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_129485
Title of the Manuscript:	Study on growth yield and quality of Pea under the influence of different combinations of biofertilizers and organic manures
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The subject of the study presented by the authors is interesting and topical, with scientific and practical importance.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is appropriate, in relation to the topic addressed	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The article Abstract is comprehensive and the keywords are representative of the article topic	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate, in accordance with the General Guideline for Authors, International Journal of Plant & Soil Science. Scientifically, the article has a correct approach.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The scientific literature, to which the reporting was made, is recent and representative in the field.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English language and the quality of the article are suitable for academic communications, and the article is accessible to readers.	

Review Form 3

<p>Optional/Generalcomments</p>	<p>1. In some places there are open parentheses that need to be closed. It was suggested in the article.</p> <p>2. Correct spelling of coordinates is recommended. It was suggested in the article (Material and Methods)</p> <p>3. The standard error (SE) is presented in various tables, in the form "\pmS.E (m)". It is recommended to check and correct, or explain, as appropriate, what "m" represents. The Standard Error has the same unit of measurement as the parameter(s) it refers to, and "m" can be misleading. There are different tables, with different parameters and units of measurement of the parameters.</p> <p>The subject of the study is interesting and topical, with high scientific and practical importance. The introduction is presented correctly, in accordance with the subject. Methodology of the study was clearly presented, and appropriate to the proposed objectives. The obtained results are important and have been analyzed and interpreted correctly, in accordance with the current methodology. The discussions are appropriate, in the context of the results, and was conducted compared to other studies in the field. The scientific literature, to which the reporting was made, is recent and representative in the field.</p> <p>Some suggestions and corrections were made in the article.</p> <p>PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT</p>	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment<i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Florin Sala</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>University of Life Sciences "King Mihai I" From Timisoara, Romania</p>