

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_128961
Title of the Manuscript:	Elements Analysis and Proximate Composition of Guiera senegalensis from West Kordofan, Sudan
Type of the Article	Original research

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Considering the high cost of pharmaceuticals and conflicting issues of side effects, studies in medicinal plants and their active ingredients is highly recommended	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Acceptable	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Suitable	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Acceptable	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Needs a tittle restructuring especially the grammar	
Optional/General comments	There should be an additional chapter on discussions. This will provide comparisons between current work and similar works conducted earlier. This will make the conclusion to be informed.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Iliyasu A. A. ibrahim
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Health Sciences Azare, Nigeria